

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION**

SHANNON JOHNSON,

Plaintiff,

V.

O'REILLY AUTO ENTERPRISES, LLC.,

Defendant.

$$\begin{array}{c}) \\) \\) \\) \\) \\) \\) \\) \\) \end{array}$$

Case: 1:23-cv-16763

Jury Trial Demanded

COMPLAINT

NOW COMES Plaintiff, SHANNON JOHNSON (“Plaintiff”), by and through the undersigned counsel, hereby files this Complaint against O’REILLY AUTO ENTERPRISES, LLC, (“Defendant”), and in support states as follows:

NATURE OF PLAINTIFF'S CLAIMS

1. This lawsuit arises under the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §1981 (“Section 1981”), Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §2000e *et seq.* (“Title VII”), seeking redress for Defendants race-based discrimination, race-based harassment, and retaliation under Title VII.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331.

3. Venue of this action properly lies in the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) insofar as Defendant operates and transacts business in this judicial district and the events giving rise to Plaintiff's claims occurred within this District.

ADMINISTRATIVE PREREQUISITES

4. All conditions precedent have been fulfilled or been complied with.
5. Plaintiff filed a charge of discrimination with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (“EEOC”) (attached hereto as Exhibit “A”).
6. Plaintiff received a Notice of Right to Sue from the EEOC (attached hereto as Exhibit “B”).
7. This Complaint has been filed within ninety (90) days of the receipt of the EEOC’s Dismissal and Notice of Right to Sue.

THE PARTIES

8. At all times material to the allegations of this Complaint, Plaintiff, Shannon Johnson, resides in Dupage County, Illinois.
9. At all times material to the allegations in this Complaint, Defendant, O’ Reilly Auto Enterprises, LLC., is a corporation doing business in and for Dupage County, Illinois, whose address is 543 Frontenac Court Naperville, IL.
10. Plaintiff was employed by Defendant as an “employee” within the meaning of 42 U.S.C §2000e(f).
11. During the applicable limitations period, Defendant has had at least fifteen employees, has been an “employer” as defined by Title VII, and has been engaged in an industry affecting commerce within the meaning of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e(b).

BACKGROUND FACTS

12. Plaintiff worked for Defendant as a delivery driver from January 16, 2022 through June 2, 2023.
13. Since at least May 2023 through June 2, 2023, Defendant has subjected Plaintiff to

different terms and conditions of employment than others not within her protected class and has been subjected to a hostile work environment on the basis of race, violating Title VII and Section 1981.

14. Plaintiff is African American and is a member of a protected class because of her race whom Defendant subjected to different terms and conditions of employment than others not within her protected class.

15. Plaintiff's co-worker, Wendy, would aggressively snatch packages out of her, and other African-American employees, hands.

16. Wendy physically assaulted Plaintiff, by shoving her in the back.

17. Wendy repeatedly yelled profanities at Plaintiff, in close proximity to her face.

18. Wendy did not treat her Caucasian and Hispanic co-workers in the same inappropriate manner.

19. Plaintiff reported Wendy's behavior to her store manager, Luis Martinez, on two separate occasions.

20. Mr. Martinez did not take any action or investigate the matter further.

21. Plaintiff reported Wendy's behavior to her district manager, George Rosas.

22. Mr. Rosas did not take any action or investigate the matter further.

23. Plaintiff continued to feel unsafe at work and had no choice but to resign from the position due to the hostile and unsafe working conditions.

24. Plaintiff met or exceed Defendant's performance expectations during the entire duration of her employment.

25. Plaintiff was constructively discharged because of her race, (African-American) on

June 2, 2023.

26. Plaintiff was retaliated against for opposing unlawful discrimination and for exercising her protected rights.

27. Plaintiff reported the race-based harassment to Defendant.

28. Plaintiff suffered multiple adverse employment actions.

29. There is a basis for employer liability for the race-based harassment that Plaintiff was subjected to.

30. Plaintiff can show that she engaged in statutorily protected activity –a necessary component of her retaliation claim- because Plaintiff lodged complaints directly to her manager about the harassment.

COUNT I
Violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1981
(Race-Based Discrimination)

31. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges paragraphs 1-30 as if fully stated herein.

32. Section 1977 of the Revised Statutes, 42 U.S.C. § 1981, as amended, guarantees persons of all races, colors, and national origin the same right to make and enforce contracts, regardless of race, color, or national origin. The term “make and enforce” contracts includes the making, performance, modification, and termination of contracts, and the enjoyment of all benefits, privileges, terms, and conditions of the contractual relationship.

33. Defendant’s conduct against Plaintiff’s race amounts to a pattern or practice of systemic race discrimination that constitutes illegal intentional race discrimination in violation of 42 U.S.C. Section 1981.

34. Plaintiff was subjected to and harmed by Defendant’s systemic and individual discrimination.

35. Defendant's unlawful conduct resulted in considerable harm and adverse employment actions to Plaintiff and is entitled to all legal and equitable remedies under Section 1981.

36. As a direct and proximate result of the race-based discrimination described above, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer loss of employment, loss of income, loss of other employment benefits and has suffered and continues to suffer mental anguish, distress, humiliation and loss of enjoyment of life.

COUNT II
Violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964
(Race-Based Discrimination)

37. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges paragraphs 1-30 as if fully stated herein.

38. By virtue of the conduct alleged herein, Defendant intentionally discriminated against Plaintiff based on Plaintiff's race, in violation of the Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e, *et seq.*

39. Plaintiff met or exceeded performance expectations.

40. Plaintiff was treated less favorably than similarly situated employees outside of Plaintiff's protected class.

41. Plaintiff is a member of a protected class under the Title VII, due to Plaintiff's race.

42. Defendant acted in willful and reckless disregard of Plaintiff's protected rights.

43. As a direct and proximate result of the discrimination described above, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer loss of employment, loss of income, loss of employment benefits and has suffered and continues to suffer mental anguish, distress, humiliation and loss of enjoyment of life.

COUNT III
Violation of Title VII of The Civil Rights Act of 1964
(Race-Based Harassment)

44. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges paragraphs 1-30 as if fully stated herein.

45. By virtue of the conduct alleged herein, Defendant engaged in unlawful employment practices and subjected Plaintiff to race-based harassment, in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e, *et seq.*

46. Defendant knew or should have known of the harassment.

47. The race-based harassment was severe or pervasive.

48. The race-based harassment was offensive subjectively and objectively.

49. The race-based harassment was unwelcomed.

50. Plaintiff is a member of a protected class under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e, *et seq.*, due to Plaintiff's race, African-American.

51. Defendant acted in willful and reckless disregard of Plaintiff's protected rights.

52. As a direct and proximate result of the race-based harassment described above, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer loss of employment, loss of income, loss of other employment benefits and has suffered and continues to suffer mental anguish, distress, humiliation and loss of enjoyment of life.

COUNT IV
Violation of Title VII of The Civil Rights Act of 1964
(Retaliation)

53. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges paragraphs 1-30 as if fully stated herein.

54. Plaintiff is a member of a protected class under 42 U.S.C. § 2000e, *et seq.*

55. During Plaintiff's employment with Defendant, Plaintiff reasonably complained to Defendant about conduct that constituted race discrimination or race-based harassment.

56. As such, Plaintiff engaged in protected conduct and was protected against unlawful retaliation by Defendant under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e, *et seq.*

57. In response to Plaintiff's complaint, Defendant failed to conduct a prompt, thorough and objective investigation of Plaintiff's complaint of race discrimination or harassment.

58. Defendant also failed to take necessary precautions to prevent further recurrences of the discriminatory and harassing conduct complained of by Plaintiff.

59. Plaintiff's suffered an adverse employment action in retaliation for engaging in protected activity.

60. By virtue of the foregoing, Defendant retaliated against Plaintiff based on Plaintiff's reporting the race-based discrimination or harassment, thereby violating Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §2000e, *et seq.*

61. Defendant's retaliatory conduct toward Plaintiff illustrated a willful and/or reckless violation of Title VII.

62. As a direct and proximate result of the above-alleged willful and/or reckless acts of Defendant, Plaintiff has suffered damages of a pecuniary and non-pecuniary nature, humiliation, and degradation.

RELIEF REQUESTED

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court find in Plaintiff's favor and against Defendant as follows:

- a. Back pay with interest;
- b. Payment of interest on all back pay recoverable;
- c. Compensatory and punitive damages;

- d. Reasonable attorneys' fees and costs;
- e. Award pre-judgment interest if applicable; and
- f. Award Plaintiff any and all other such relief as the Court deems just and proper.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff hereby requests that all issues be submitted to and determined by a jury.

Dated this 14th day of December, 2023.

/s/ Alexander Taylor
ALEXANDER TAYLOR, ESQ.
SULAIMAN LAW GROUP LTD.
2500 S. Highland Avenue, Suite 200
Lombard, Illinois 60148
Phone (630) 575-8181
ataylor@sulaimanlaw.com
Attorney for Plaintiff